

**Stark, David**

277213

**From:** Richard Whitt <rlwhitt@AustinRogersPA.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 17, 2018 12:45 PM  
**To:** Stark, David; Nelson, Jeff; Hammonds, Lessie  
**Cc:** Tim Rogers; Carrie Schurg; Heather Smith; Rebecca.Dulin@duke-energy.com; Scott Elliott; ejones@selcsc.org; lbowen@selcnc.org; swellborn@sowellgray.com; fellerbe@robinsongray.com; Snowden, Ben (BSnowden@kilpatricktownsend.com)  
**Subject:** Docket No. 2018-3-E DEC's Motion for a Protective Order (and other requests)

David:

1. SCSBA consents to receiving Duke's Discovery Responses on July 27, 2018.
2. SCSBA's Response to the original Motion would have been due on Monday, July 23, 2018. Instead of that Response, SCSBA reserves its rights to contest the Company's objections to our Discovery Requests and any objections to the Discovery Responses that are produced on July 27, 2018.
3. Please let me know if you need any additional information and thank you for your involvement.

Regards,  
 Richard Whitt.

**From:** Stark, David [mailto:david.stark@psc.sc.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 12, 2018 1:56 PM  
**To:** Heather Smith <heather.smith@duke-energy.com>; Rebecca.Dulin@duke-energy.com; fellerbe@robinsongray.com; Nelson, Jeff <jnelson@regstaff.sc.gov>; Hammonds, Lessie <lhammon@regstaff.sc.gov>; Richard Whitt <rlwhitt@AustinRogersPA.com>; Tim Rogers <tfrogers@AustinRogersPA.com>; ejones@selcsc.org; Scott Elliott <sellott@elliottlaw.us>; swellborn@sowellgray.com; lbowen@selcnc.org  
**Subject:** Docket No. 2018-3-E DEC's Motion for a Protective Order (and other requests)

Parties:

I have reviewed DEC's motion filed by DEC on July 11, 2018, and would note that this is a very time sensitive matter. It would be helpful if I could get an idea about when -- roughly - I can expect a response from the South Carolina Solar Business Alliance, so that a proper and timely ruling can be made regarding the motion and requests made by DEC. I very much appreciate the parties taking a proactive and timely approach with regards to discovery issues and disputes; it facilitates a much more efficient discovery process and is beneficial to the proceeding as a whole.

I hope that some of the issues raised in DEC's July 11 filing may be able to be resolved by having discussion between the parties, and I would encourage the parties to communicate with that intention in mind.

Feel free to contact me with any procedural concerns or questions.

Regards,

David Stark, Staff Counsel  
 Public Service Commission of South Carolina  
 101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100  
 Columbia, South Carolina 29210

Telephone: (803) 896-5163

[david.stark@psc.sc.gov](mailto:david.stark@psc.sc.gov)

<http://www.psc.sc.gov>